

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/040,224	01/02/2002	Ebrahim Andideh	42390P11353	2917
8791 75	590 12/31/2002			
BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN			EXAMINER	
12400 WILSHI LOS ANGELE	RE BOULEVARD, SEVENTH FLOOR S, CA 90025		LEE, HSIEN MING	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2823	

DATE MAILED: 12/31/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			m
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	, -
Advisory Action	10/040,224	ANDIDEH, EBRAHIM	1
·	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Hsien-Ming Lee	2823	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars n the cover sheet with th	correspondence addre	ess
THE REPLY FILED 18 December 2002 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper reply n places the applicati	to a on in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
 a)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejection IE FINAL REJECTION. S	n. See MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of t (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 Cl	f extension and the corresponding amo he shortened statutory period for reply e later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The appro originally set in the final C	priate extension office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	cause:		
(a) $oxed{\boxtimes}$ they raise new issues that would require furthe	r consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note be	elow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sim	plifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .			
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	on(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would I canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	pe allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed a	mendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NOT	place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	use it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(explanation of how the new or amended claims wo			id an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a	a) approved or b) disappr	oved by the Examine	er.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	t(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·	
10. Other:			
Patent and Trademark Office			





Continuation of 2. NOTE: The newly added limitations "one of .. and a trench "and "wherein the via is filled with a sacrificial light absorbing material" in independent claims 1 and 22; the limitation "forming, through a first portion of the dielectric layer, either of a via and a trench filled with a sacrificial light absorbing material" in independent claim 13; and the changes of dependency with respect to claims 3-6 and 15-18 would change the scope of the the claims, which raise new issues that requie further consideration and/or serch..

Olik Chaudhuri Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800